July 24, 2012

The meeting was called to order at 6:35 p.m. by Chairman Stu Lewin. Present were regular member Don Duhaime and Mark Suennen, alternate member David Litwinovich and Ex-Officio Rodney Towne. Also present were Planning Coordinator Nic Strong, Planning Board Assistant Shannon Silver and Recording Clerk Valerie Diaz.

Present in the audience for all or part of the meeting was Rick Kohler, CWS.

Public Meeting on Proposal to Amend the Board's Rules of Procedure

 The Chairman read the public hearing notice and noted that there were no audience members present and as such he would not be going through each amendment as the Board had done so at previous meetings.

The Chairman indicated that Rodney Towne had not been present at the meeting when the proposed amendments had been discussed. He stated that the proposed amendments included a rule that allowed the Chairman to vote. The Coordinator added that there was also a proposed amendment that Planning Board meetings would not run past 10:00 p.m., without a vote to continue.

Rodney Towne stated that he had reviewed the proposed amendments and it appeared that the Board/Planning Office had worked hard on it and he did not believe there was anything out of the ordinary included in the document.

Don Duhaime pointed to Section 14.7 of the proposed Board's Rules of Procedure and noted that the word, "name", was missing from the section.

Don Duhaime asked for clarification if public notice referred to 10 business days, i.e., Monday through Friday. The Coordinator answered yes.

The Chairman referred to Section, 19.7, and noted that a reference was included that site walk meeting minutes would be prepared and acted upon like regular Planning Board minutes in accordance with that section of the Rules of Procedure and would, therefore be voted on and approved by the Board. He continued that he did not believe that the Board had ever voted on site walk minutes for approval. The Board agreed to strike the language "minutes shall be in accordance with", so as to remove reference to the regular minutes procedures.

The Chairman asked for further comments and/or questions. David Litwinovich noted that he did not have any comments or questions.

The Chairman asked if any changes had been made to the forms. The Coordinator advised that a change had been made to the Subdivision Checklist and was relative to needing a copyright note on plans.

Rodney Towne indicated that the Board of Selectmen had discussed organization within the Town Departments and had specifically addressed the volume of paper being used. He asked if it was necessary to require four and eight sets of plans and if instead a couple of paper plans and one electronic copy that could be projected be required. He noted that the Board of Selectmen was working on reducing the amount of paper storage. The Coordinator stated that the Planning Office did not keep all four copies of the large scale plans. She explained that one copy was used for edits and given back to the applicant, one copy remained in the file, one copy was sent to the Road Agent and one copy was sent to the Conservation Commission. She noted

July 24, 2012

RULES OF PROCEDURE, cont.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

that the smaller copies of the plan were sent to the various departments in Town to determine if there would be any impacts to their services.

Rodney Towne asked if an electronic copy of the plan could be sent to the Conservation Commission. The Coordinator believed it may be feasible to send PDFs of the 11" x 17" copies to the other departments but she noted the Road Agent needed to see the road plans and the Conservation Commission took the copy of the plan to the site to view the wetlands.

The Chairman asked who provided the copies of the plans to the Planning Board, because the regulations require submission of eight copies and those apparently were sent to the Departments. The Coordinator answered that the Planning Office made those copies. She noted that secretarial fees charged to an applicant covered the cost to make the copies.

The Chairman asked if the question of sending plans electronically to Town Departments could be asked at the next Department Managers' meeting. The Coordinator answered yes.

The Chairman asked for further comments and/or questions; there were no further comments or questions.

The Chairman closed the public session of the hearing.

17 18 19

20

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to adopt the amendments to the Rules of Procedures as presented and editorially amended at the meeting. Don Duhaime seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

212223

Continued discussion, re: Mixed Use Village District

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

3738

39

40

41

42 43 The Board took a ten minute recess to review the Memorandum to the Planning Board Members, from Nic Strong, Planning Coordinator, dated July 23, 2012, re: Continued Mixed Use Discussion.

The Chairman asked the Coordinator for an update on the planning of the Mixed Use Village District. The Coordinator noted that the Board had decided that the Mixed Use District would be an overlay district and she had attached some basic information about overlay districts for the Board to read. She noted that the district would contain performance standards and she had also attached some information on performance standards. The Coordinator advised that the previously referenced Memorandum contained information on how other towns around the state and across the nation handled mixed use districts. Mark Suennen asked what had drawn the Coordinator to these particular communities. The Coordinator noted that a Google search had provided some sources and she had also used the Office of Energy and Planning's website to get some ideas of New Hampshire communities that were doing something with mixed use. Mark Suennen said that it would be interesting to know the population of the towns the Coordinator had picked. The Coordinator stated that she could get that information. The Coordinator noted that it was not possible to take any of the ordinances and simply change the name to "New Boston" and use the regulation for our own. She noted that each community was using mixed use for different reasons, e.g., economic development, re-use of existing buildings, no setbacks, preserving downtowns, and so on. She also noted that towns were different sizes and

July 24, 2012

MIXED USE DISTRICT DISCUSSION, cont.

2 3

topography. She stated that the things she mentioned could be looked at further and discussed. The Coordinator noted that New Boston's ordinance seemed as if it was going to be a cross between a conventional zoning ordinance with some form based code ideas and performance standards thrown in, which will make it unique to the Town.

David Litwinovich asked if the other towns' ordinances and regulations were available online. The Coordinator answered yes. The Chairman asked if the Coordinator had noted anything other towns did that were not good to do. The Coordinator answered no.

The Chairman asked if it was possible to receive material on this matter a full week before the next scheduled meeting in August. The Coordinator answered yes.

The Board moved on to the second part of the Coordinator's memo to do with the public input gathering for the mixed use district proposal. The Coordinator noted that previously the Board had thought that a session in October once the kids were back in school and everyone was back in the routine would be the best time. She noted that the Library Community Room was available on Saturday, October 20, 2012. She suggested that the Board plan on a session from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. and that breakfast refreshments could be served. The first public input session was scheduled for Saturday, October 20, 2012, from 8:30 a.m. through 12:30 p.m. at the Whipple Free Library. It was noted that a Save the Date type notice would be sent to the Bulletin for the September issue and a more detailed article be prepared for the October issue. The Coordinator suggested that the Board consider holding some public input sessions at the regular Board meetings in September to get input from those who may be unable to attend the session in October. The Board thought this was a good idea as it would also help shape the direction of the October session. It was suggested, however, that one session be held at the second meeting in September and one in October or November after the larger public session.

The Chairman asked who would facilitate the public input session. The Coordinator answered that the Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission (SNHPC), would provide one or two facilitators for the event. Mark Suennen asked when the facilitator should be reserved. The Coordinator indicated that she would contact the SNHPC the following day to inquire about reserving a facilitator.

The Coordinator's memo asked the Board what they thought of taking the list of questions designed for people who may be unable or may not want to attend the public input sessions and making them into a questionnaire to be used on a broader level. The Coordinator suggested using a free online survey tool to allow the survey to be posted to the Planning Board's page on the Town's website. The Chairman asked for the Board Member's thoughts on the online survey. Mark Suennen commented that it was a good idea. He suggested that the survey questions be reviewed at the next scheduled meeting. David Litwinovich asked if hard copies of the survey would be available. The Coordinator answered no and explained that the survey questions were intended to be answered by those in the community that were unable to attend the public input sessions.

The Coordinator informed the Board that the Commercial Design Guidelines booklet was a valuable resource to consider when discussing creating performance standards for the district and the Board should take some time to look at it before the next meeting because a lot of the

July 24, 2012 4

1 2	MIXI	ED USE DISTRICT DISCUSSION, cont.					
3 4 5	work that went into that document might be relevant for the mixed use district now. The Chairman asked for further questions and/or comments; there were no comments or questions.						
6 7 8		CELLANEOUS BUSINESS AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE MEETING OF 24, 2012					
9 10 11	1.	Approval of the June 12, 2012, minutes distributed by email.					
12 13		David Litwinovich MOVED to accept the minutes of June 12, 2012, as written. Mark Suennen seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.					
14 15 16	2.	Approval of the June 26, 2012, minutes distributed by email.					
17 18 19		David Litwinovich MOVED to accept the minutes of June 26, 2012, as written. Mark Suennen seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.					
20 21 22	4.	Pre-Construction Meeting Minutes received July 09, 2012, from Kevin Leonard, P.E., Northpoint Engineering, LLC, to Nicola Strong, Planning Coordinator, re: Twin Bridge Estates, Phase II, for the Board's information.					
232425	occur	The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion red.					
26							
27 28 29 30	5.	Letter received July 10, 2012, from Dwight D. Sowerby, Esquire, Drescher & Dokmo, P.A., re: Letter of Credit for Twin Bridge Land Management, for the Board's information.					
31		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion					
32 33	occur	occurred.					
34 35 36	6a.	Notice of Decision from the Zoning Board of Adjustment received June 29, 2012, re: Tax/Map Lot #3/52-26, 42 Hemlock Drive, for the Board's information.					
37		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion					
38 39	occurred.						
40 41	6b.	Town of New Boston Zoning Board of Adjustment meeting minutes, re: Tax/Map Lot #3/52-26, 42 Hemlock Drive, Joint Hearing with the Planning Board, June 26, 2012,					

42 43

for the Board's information.

37 38

42

43

July 24, 2012 5

1	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.							
2								
3		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion						
4	occurred.							
5 6	7.	Copy of article, titled; "The Inside Scoop on Nonpublic Sessions", by C. Christine						
7	7.	Fillmore, a Staff Attorney with the New Hampshire Local Government Center's Legal						
8		Services and Government Affairs Department, published in New Hampshire Town and						
9		City, June 2012 issue.						
10								
11		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion						
12	occur	occurred.						
13								
14	12.	Request for Project Review by the NH Division of Historical Resources, for Twin Bridge						
15		Estates, II, Tax Map/Lot #2/62-12 and 3/5, for the Board's information.						
16		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion						
17 18	occui							
19	occui	ieu.						
20	13.	Letter copy dated July 17, 2012, from David Preece, Executive Director, Southern New						
21		Hampshire Planning Commission, to Peter Flynn, Town Administrator, re:						
22		Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) for the region, with						
23		attachment, for the Board's information.						
24								
25		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion						
26	occurred.							
27	1.4	Letter and letted Library 2012 from Devid Donner Franchisc Discotor Conductor Name						
28 29	14.	Letter copy dated July 16, 2012, from David Preece, Executive Director, Southern New Hampshire Planning Commission, to Peter Flynn, Town Administrator, re: Piscataquog						
30		Hazard Mitigation and Fluvial Erosion Hazard Planning Project, for the Board's						
31		information.						
32								
33		The Chairman acknowledged receipt of the above-referenced matter; no discussion						
34	occurred.							
35								
36	15.	Reminder to Planning Board, re: Review of Piscataquog River Management Plan.						

The Chairman reminded the Board to review the above-referenced Plan and be ready to 39 discuss it at the meeting of September 11, 2012. 40 41

(Three extra copies now available)

16. Endorsement of a Site Review Agreement by the Planning Board Chairman for Will Lambert, Lambert Property Management, Tax Map/Lot #3/52-26, 42 Hemlock Drive.

July 24, 2012

The Chairman noted that he would execute the above-referenced document at the close of the meeting.

17. Endorsement of a Non-Residential Site Plan for Lambert Property Management, LLC, Tax Map/Lot #3/52-26, 42 Hemlock Drive, by the Planning Board Chairman and Secretary.

The Chairman noted that he would execute the above-referenced document at the close of the meeting.

The Chairman seated David Litwinovich as a full-voting member in Peter Hogan's absence.

DANE, JAMES H. & WILMA M.

- 16 <u>Submission of Application/Public Hearing/Major Subdivision/2 Lots</u>
- 17 Location: 184 Francestown Road (NH Route 136) & Pine Echo Road
- 18 Tax Map/Lot #5/6
 - Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District

Present in the audience was Rick Kohler, CWS.

The Chairman read the public hearing notice. He stated that the Application and Cover
Sheet had been completed, signed and submitted on June 6, 2012. He noted that the application
had initially been submitted as a minor subdivision, however, it was in fact a major and had been
discussed at the last meeting. He advised that all items necessary for a completed application
had been submitted.

 David Litwinovich **MOVED** to accept the application of James H. & Wilma M. Dane, Location: 184 Francestown Road (NH Route 136) & Pine Echo Road, Tax Map/Lot #5/6, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, as complete. Mark Suennen seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

The Chairman indicated that the deadline for Board action would be September 27, 2012. He noted that there were remaining waivers that need to be addressed. He asked if the Coordinator had heard back from the Road Agent with regard to the approval of the proposed driveway permit. The Coordinator answered no. The Chairman advised that the Board need to address a question relative to active and substantial completion. He noted that a site walk had been completed on July 12, 2012.

 Rick Kohler, CWS, stated that since the last meeting he had delineated and mapped the wetlands. He added that a topographic survey of the property had been completed. He pointed to the plan and noted that the area being discussed was the same area where the site walk had taken place. He stated that 2' contours had been used instead of 5' contours as it made it easier for future design purposes. He pointed to the location of the proposed driveway as well as the

July 24, 2012

DANE, JAMES & WILMA, cont.

1.5 acres of contiguous upland and 0.5 acres of developable area. He identified the critical areas on the plan and noted that the slopes were 15%. He advised that there were no slopes on the property greater than 25%. He stated that applications for the existing and proposed driveways had been submitted.

Mark Suennen stated that based on the site walk he was convinced that an appropriate building lot existed.

Rick Kohler, CWS, noted that the waiver requests were relative to the Fiscal, Traffic and Environmental Impact Studies. The Coordinator added that there were also waiver requests for items #41 through #45 of the checklist.

Mark Suennen and Rodney Towne reviewed the checklist items to be waived. Mark Suennen asked if the existing septic system was shown on the plan. Rick Kohler, CWS, answered yes and identified the location on the plan.

Mark Suennen asked for the existing soil type located in the upland area. Rick Kohler, CWS, answered that Paxton soil existed in the upland area.

Mark Suennen stated that he did not have a problem waiving items #41 through #45 of the checklist.

The Chairman asked for the Board's thoughts on the waivers for the Impact Studies. Mark Suennen commented that three cars drove past the property during peak traffic hours and as such he did not believe that a Traffic Impact Study was necessary.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to grant the waiver requests for the Traffic, Fiscal and Environmental Impact Studies for James H. & Wilma M. Dane, Location: 184 Francestown Road (NH Route 136) & Pine Echo Road, Tax Map/Lot #5/6, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, noting the minor nature of the application, the minimal traffic and the survey which had shown a suitable building envelope and noting that granting the waivers met the spirit and intent of the Subdivision Regulations. Don Duhaime seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

 Mark Suennen **MOVED** to grant the waiver request for checklist items #41 through #45, for James H. & Wilma M. Dane, Location: 184 Francestown Road (NH Route 136) & Pine Echo Road, Tax Map/Lot #5/6, Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District, noting that the survey proved a buildable area that would be able to sustain a septic system and that granting the waivers met the spirit and intent of the Subdivision Regulations. Don Duhaime seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

The Chairman asked for the Board's opinions on active and substantial development or building and substantial completion of improvements. Mark Suennen suggested that active and substantial occur at the time a deed was transferred and substantial completion of improvements would occur at the time a house foundation and driveway were installed. The Board agreed with Mark Suennen's suggestions.

Rick Kohler, CWS, asked when the vesting period began. The Coordinator answered that

July 24, 2012

DANE.	TAM	FC &	XX/TT	$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{A}$	cont
DANE.	JAW	rs &	WIL	WIA.	cont.

the vesting period began at the time of the Planning Board's approval.

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to approve the Major Subdivision Plan of James H. & Wilma M. Dane, Tax Map/Lot #5/6, 2 Lots, N.H. Route 136 a/k/a Francestown Road and Pine Echo Road, subject to:

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT:

- Submission of a minimum of four (4) blue/blackline copies of the revised plat, including all checklist corrections, notes of waivers granted and any corrections as noted at this hearing.
 - 2. Submission of a suitable Mylar for recording at the HCRD.
 - 3. Digital plat data shall be submitted per Subdivision Regulations Section IV-F, 3.
 - 4. An 'Individual Stormwater Management Plan' (ISWMP) to be submitted prior to the issuance of a building permit, will be required for land disturbance or development in 'Critical Areas' (both those designated on the plan or created during development). For building permits requiring an ISWMP, Certificates of Occupancy will only be issued after receipt of a 'Stormwater Management Plan Adherence Statement' as specified in the New Boston Subdivision Regulations."
 - 5. Deeds for each lot shall have the following statement: 'The property herein described is subject to the following condition as described in the recorded subdivision plan referenced above: A Stormwater Management Plan will be required prior to the issuance of a building permit if any land is to be disturbed in the designated or created Critical Areas.
 - 6. Payment of any outstanding fees related to the subdivision application and/or the recording of documents with the HCRD (if necessary).
 - 7. Upon completion of the conditions precedent, the final plans and Mylar shall be signed by the Board and forwarded for recording at the HCRD.

The deadline date for compliance with the conditions precedent shall be **September 24**, **2012**, the confirmation of which shall be an administrative act, not requiring further action by the Board. Should compliance not be confirmed by the deadline date and a written request for extension is not submitted by that date, the applicant is hereby put on notice that the Planning Board may convene a hearing under RSA 676:4-a to revoke the approval. This subdivision shall be deemed to be finally approved by this vote on the date the plan is signed by the Board.

ACTIVE AND SUBSTANTIAL DEVELOPMENT OR BUILDING AND SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION OF IMPROVEMENTS:

1. Within 24 months after the date of approval, the following items must be completed in order to constitute "active and substantial development or building" pursuant to RSA 674:39,I, relative to the 5-year exemption to regulation/ordinance changes:

July 24, 2012

DANE, JAMES & WILMA, cont.

recording of the deed.

2. The following items must be completed in order to constitute "substantial completion of the improvements" pursuant to RSA 674:39,II, relative to final vesting:

installation of a driveway and house foundation.

Don Duhaime seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS AND CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE MEETING OF JULY 24, 2012, Cont.

11. Memo dated July 19, 2012, from Nic Strong, Planning Coordinator, re: Planning Department Hours, for the Board's review and discussion.

The Chairman asked what days the Planning Office was open. The Coordinator answered that the Planning Office was currently open Monday, Wednesday and Friday. She noted that she and the Planning Board Assistant also worked on Tuesday and Thursday. She explained that a question had been asked why the Planning Office was not open Monday through Friday and would they be opposed to opening on an additional day. The Coordinator noted that the current hours had been the way they were since prior to her being hired 15 years ago. She noted that the hours offered the opportunity for office staff to be able to work without the interruptions of customers at the counter. She further noted that this question had come up a couple of times over the years and because the hours had always been the way they were it had previously been decided not to change them. The Coordinator went on to say that it really made no difference being open on one of the days the office was usually closed to the public.

The Coordinator asked the Planning Board Assistant if she would be agreeable to opening on Thursdays as Tuesdays required preparation for the Planning Board's meetings. The Planning Board Assistant agreed that it made more sense to open on Thursdays instead of Tuesdays.

Mark Suennen asked if the Planning Office was available by appointment on Tuesday or Thursday. The Planning Board Assistant answered yes. Mark Suennen asked if that information was known to the public. The Coordinator answered yes. The Planning Board Assistant added that people dropped into the office all of the time on Tuesday and Thursdays. The Coordinator pointed out that if this question had arisen due to concerns with consistency of department hours or the fact that customers would be confused she did not follow that argument since the Planning Department hours had been Monday, Wednesday and Friday for more than 15 years and published that way for all of that time.

Mark Suennen stated that the Board would leave the decision of the hours in the capable hands of the Planning Department.

July 24, 2012

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.

1 2 3

8. Letter dated July 17, 2012, from Dwight Sowerby, Esq., re: Fire Suppression Sprinklers in 1 – and 2- Family Dwelling Units, for the Board's review and discussion.

4 5 6

7

Mark Suennen **MOVED** to release the letter dated July 17, 2012, from Dwight Sowerby, Esq., re: Fire Suppression Sprinklers in 1 – and 2- Family Dwelling Units to all interested parties upon request. Don Duhaime seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

3738

39

40 41

42 43 The Chairman asked that a copy of the above-referenced letter be sent to the Fire Wards and the Fire Inspector.

The Chairman asked for comments and/or questions from the Board. Mark Suennen disagreed with Town Counsel regarding proposed solution #3 in the Fire Wards' letter. He argued that an applicant could not be forced to install sprinklers, however, that did not mean that the applicant could not install and have them accepted by the Fire Department as an approved firefighting water supply system. The Coordinator stated that a letter previously sent from Town Counsel had expressed to the Board that he and other attorneys he had spoken with were very leery about accepting a voluntary sprinkler system because one developer could volunteer to install sprinklers, sell the development prior to the installation and the new developer may refuse to install them. Mark Suennen agreed with the Coordinator but stated that the language in the letter from Town Counsel suggested that sprinklers would not be an approved firefighting water system which was not the case based on what the Fire Wards had stated. The Coordinator stated that anyone in New Boston could install a sprinkler system at any time, however, a subdivision approval could not be granted by using a sprinkler system in place of a cistern. Mark Suennen stated that to get a subdivision approval an applicant must meet the Subdivision Regulations and be approved by the Fire Wards. He continued that he was in favor of generic language that would allow an approved firefighting water system. The Coordinator advised that a fire suppression system had to be chosen prior to subdivision approval and currently the Town could only approve cisterns.

The Coordinator explained that the reason sprinkler language was in the Building Code was because the Town had been advised that a reference to the NFPA needed to be there in order for the Town to be able to use it. She pointed out that the sprinklers had been allowed in lieu of cisterns and there was not a stand alone sprinkler regulation. She added that the reference in the Building Code was specifically tied to subdivisions and fire suppression was required for subdivisions. She continued that the Town was now being advised that they could not require sprinkler systems for subdivisions. Rodney Towne argued that the Town would not be requiring the installation of sprinklers; rather the applicant would be making the choice. The Coordinator reiterated that subdivision approval could not be granted without a cistern.

Mark Suennen recommended that Town Counsel and the Fire Wards attend a Planning Board meeting together to discuss this matter. The Chairman agreed with Mark Suennen's recommendation. He further recommended that the Board of Selectmen be present at the meeting as there could be financial impact to the Town.

Don Duhaime suggested that the sprinklers be taken out of the Subdivision Regulations

July 24, 2012

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.

and be placed in the Building Code. The Coordinator stated that sprinklers would then be required for all new construction and it would be hard to tie it to the number of lots that everyone had historically agreed was the number that kicked someone into needing fire suppression. The Coordinator stated that the matter would need to go before the voters.

The Chairman scheduled a meeting with Town Counsel, Fire Wards, Board of Selectmen and Planning Board for August 28, 2012.

 9. Letter dated July 17, 2012, from Dwight Sowerby, Esq., re: Vesting under RSA 674:39, for the Board's review and discussion.

David Litwinovich **MOVED** to release a letter dated July 17, 2012, from Dwight Sowerby, Esq., re: Vesting under RSA 674:39. Mark Suennen seconded the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously.

The Coordinator explained that the above-referenced letter contained information regarding the vesting statute that had not been contained within a previous letter from Town Counsel. She stated that there was a way for a plan that had been approved but not recorded to be subject to changes to the Zoning Ordinance.

10. Memo dated July 19, 2012, from Nic Strong, Planning Coordinator, re: Cistern Installation and Lot Tracking, for the Board's review and discussion.

The Coordinator pointed out that the above-referenced matter was complex enough that the Board may wish to address it at the end of the previously discussed meeting with Town Counsel.

The Coordinator stated that the draft of her proposal for changes to the Subdivision Regulations to deal with removing sprinklers from them had been sent to Town Counsel and after reviewing it he had determined that using words that dealt with a possibility was too subjective. She also noted that depending on what happened with the cistern/sprinkler matter the issue of whether you start at the first lot or the fifth lot was in question. She also addressed a hypothetical situation of an applicant developing four lots and not be required to install fire suppression and then the next applicant dividing four lots and not needing to do fire suppression and then there were eight houses. She questioned how it made sense to have the eight houses with no water supply, noting that if they had been developed as one subdivision fire suppression would have been required. Rodney Towne stated that this issue had been questioned since its adoption. Don Duhaime suggested that the matter be placed in the Building Code and into the Building Department's hands.

 The Coordinator explained that the Planning Board had wrestled with this matter in 1986 and 1996 and had done tracking and looking backwards. She continued that since that time it had been determined that looking backwards was bad and it was necessary to start from the beginning looking forward.

July 24, 2012

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont.

Mark Suennen stated that this issue was not much different than dealing with road issues. He used the example of traffic trips increasing and the need to build a traffic signal. He explained that when determining who was responsible for building the traffic signal the last person would be responsible for increasing the traffic. It was Mark Suennen's opinion that the fifth person building in a subdivision was responsible for installing a cistern. The Coordinator pointed out that the Town had [tracked it] based on the parent parcel.

The Chairman asked that the Coordinator include the above-referenced letter to the Fire Wards when sending out the invitation for the August 28th meeting.

3. Memorandum with attachments dated May 4, 2012, from Nic Strong, Planning Coordinator, to Planning Board Members, re: Conditional Use Permits, for the Board's review and discussion.

Mark Suennen stated that he had read through the above-referenced Memorandum and had sent the Coordinator an email with questions and thoughts. He noted that he had questioned creating a separate CUP section. He continued that a CUP section could not be created because the statutes did not permit the Board from separating the CUPs as a separate section and it was required that it be built into the Zoning Ordinance.

Mark Suennen informed the Board that currently the CUP section was under Zoning in the Wetland Conservation and Stream Corridor District. He pointed out that because CUPs were in Zoning the Board was unable to waive any requirements. He further pointed out that the current requirements did not match what the Board was actually doing.

Mark Suennen asked if the Board should leave CUPs in Zoning or move CUPs to the Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations. He stated that if the CUPs were placed in the Subdivision and Site Plan Regulations the Board would lose its benefit for any lots of record in the Wetlands Conservation and Stream Corridor District.

Mark Suennen believed that when comparing the draft CUP section to other towns it appeared that New Boston's was better and did a pretty good job of matching what the Board currently did. He suggested pulling some language from the samples and adding it to the draft and leaving it in the Zoning Ordinance.

David Litwinovich asked if the CUP section had to stay in the Zoning Ordinance. Mark Suennen answered no and explained that it could be moved to the Subdivision Regulations; however, moving it would mean that it would only apply to new subdivision applications.

The Coordinator stated that because the Open Space Subdivisions were an innovative land use control there were a couple of sections that gave the Planning Board some leeway and discretion. She was going to ask Town Counsel if there was a way to add similar language to the CUP section.

The Coordinator noted that she would update the draft. Mark Suennen asked the Coordinator for two weeks to review the sample language and he would provide her with language that should be added to the draft.

The Chairman asked for questions and/or comments; there were no comments or

Valerie Diaz, Recording Clerk

July 24, 2012

12

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, cont. 1 2 3 questions. The Chairman noted that this matter was on the schedule to be completed this year and 4 placed on the March 2013 ballot. 5 6 Mark Suennen **MOVED** to adjourn the meeting at 8:33 p.m. Don Duhaime seconded 7 the motion and it **PASSED** unanimously. 8 9 10 Respectfully Submitted, 11 Minutes Approved:

13

08/29/2012